

COMMENTS RECEIVED TO PROPOSED NEW OR REVISED POLICIES

AP 3.01.01: Qualifying Instructional Personnel to Teach		
Date Comment Received	Comment	Response
5-14-24	1.1.3 – Last sentence would be clearer if it read: The Provost approves or denies the proposed changes and they become effective by July of that year.	Great suggestion, we have made the change.
	2.2 – Last paragraph - <i>All DFC members will be informed of newly qualified candidates</i> (I assume this only applies to only ones qualified by an alternative method, if so, please clarify here).	Great suggestion, we have made the change.
	2.2.3 – At the end of the first paragraph, I recommend adding a statement that in order to qualify to teach Academic courses, the person must possess an academic degree at least at the level for which the course applies (similar to the "in-progress statement).	The committee believes there may be some times in which this will not be true. Your suggested language has been modified to include the word, "typically"
	Last paragraph – refers to the Executive Director for Faculty Affairs (no longer a position) and presented to the Vice Provost (so it does not need to go to the Provost?)	Eliminated, and that is correct, it does not need to go to the provost for approval, only if there are concerns.